



**Perspective of CO₂ Capture & Storage (CCS)
development in Vietnam: Results from expert
interviews**

Trinh Hoang Anh NGUYEN

Minh HA-DUONG

April 2014

CIRED Working Papers Series

C.I.R.E.D.

Centre International de Recherches sur l'Environnement et le Développement

ENPC & CNRS (UMR 8568) / EHESS / AGROPARISTECH
/ CIRAD / MÉTÉO FRANCE

**45 bis, avenue de la Belle Gabrielle
F-94736 Nogent sur Marne CEDEX**

Tel : (33) 1 47 01 70 70 Fax : (33) 1 47 01 70 70

CIRE Working Papers Series

**Perspective of CO₂ Capture & Storage (CCS) development in Vietnam:
Results from expert interviews**

Abstract

This paper summarizes expert opinions regarding crucial factors that may influence Vietnam's future use of carbon capture and storage (CCS) based on face-to-face interviews in December 2013 with 15 CCS-related experts from the Vietnamese government, research institutes, universities and the energy industrial sector. This study finds that financial incentives and climate policy are the most important factors for the development of CCS technology in Vietnam. Financial incentives involve direct subsidies from the government, such as tax exemptions for land use and the importation of CCS-related equipment. In addition, all the experts agree that international financial support is important to initiate a large deployment of CCS technology in Vietnam by implementing demonstrative/pilot projects to prove CCS's working efficiency.

Keywords: CO₂ capture and storage, expert interview, Vietnam, emission reduction.

Point de vue du développement de la capture et stockage de CO₂ (CSC) au Vietnam: Les résultats des entrevues d'experts

Résumé

Cet article résume des avis d'experts sur les facteurs cruciaux qui peuvent influencer sur l'utilisation future de capture et stockage du carbone (CSC) au Vietnam. Cet article est basé sur des entretiens en face-à-face avec 15 experts liés à la CSC du gouvernement vietnamien, les instituts de recherche, les universités et le secteur industriel de l'énergie en Décembre 2013. Cette étude conclut que les incitations financières et la politique climatique sont les facteurs les plus importants pour le développement de la technologie de CSC au Vietnam. Les incitations financières aux subventions directes de l'État, tels que les exonérations fiscales pour l'utilisation des terres et l'importation de matériel du CCS. En outre, tous les experts s'accordent à dire que le soutien financier international est important pour un déploiement à grande échelle de la technologie de CSC au Vietnam par la mise en œuvre des projets de démonstration / pilotes pour prouver l'efficacité du travail de la CSC.

Mots-clés: capture et stockage du CO₂, interviews d'experts, le Vietnam, la réduction des émissions

Summary

CCS technology is well recognized as an efficient solution to reduce CO₂ emissions, particularly in industrialized countries. In developing countries, the question is: would this technology assist future development? To answer this question regarding Vietnam, we conducted face-to-face interviews in December 2013 with 15 CCS-related experts from the Vietnamese government, research institutes, universities and the energy industrial sector. This paper summarizes these experts' opinions regarding the following crucial factors that may influence future CCS development in Vietnam: the necessity of CCS development, issues of technology and experience, financial support and market development, incentives and long-term policy, and potential risks. According to the interviews, CCS could reduce Vietnam's CO₂ emissions in the next decades. However, as most other developing countries, Vietnam does not have high per capita CO₂ emissions, and thus CCS is not a high priority for at least the next 20 years. This study finds that financial incentives and climate policy are the most important factors for the deployment of CCS technology in Vietnam. Financial incentives include direct subsidies from the government, such as tax exemptions for land use and the importation of CCS-related equipment. In addition, all the experts agree that international financial support, such as the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) or direct investment, is important to initiate a large deployment of CCS technology in Vietnam by implementing pilot projects to prove CCS's working efficiency. To encourage power plants to integrate CO₂ capture technology, appropriate carbon tax schemes and transparent electricity pricing could also help. Few experts expect that technological improvements in CCS in the next decades would reduce CO₂ capture and storage costs or decrease technological uncertainty. Otherwise, Vietnam would give higher priority to this technology.

Perspective of CO₂ Capture & Storage (CCS) development in Vietnam: Results from expert interviews

--- Working paper version ---

Trinh Hoang Anh Nguyen, Minh Ha-Duong

CIREN, 45 bis, avenue de la Belle Gabrielle, 94736 Nogent-sur-Marne Cedex, France

Abstract

This paper summarizes expert opinions regarding crucial factors that may influence Vietnam's future use of carbon capture and storage (CCS) based on face-to-face interviews in December 2013 with 15 CCS-related experts from the Vietnamese government, research institutes, universities and the energy industrial sector. This study finds that financial incentives and climate policy are the most important factors for the development of CCS technology in Vietnam. Financial incentives involve direct subsidies from the government, such as tax exemptions for land use and the importation of CCS-related equipment. In addition, all the experts agree that international financial support is important to initiate a large deployment of CCS technology in Vietnam by implementing demonstrative/pilot projects to prove CCS's working efficiency.

Key-words

CO₂ capture and storage, expert interview, Vietnam, emission reduction

1. Introduction

Carbon capture and storage (CCS) is an important technological option to reduce global CO₂ emissions. The control of global CO₂ emissions would be more effective if developing countries building new fossil-fuel power plants, such as Vietnam, participated more actively in the world CCS development plan. Yet at present, CCS is not attractive to Vietnam because the technology is expensive and no emission targets have been set. This work aims to gather expert opinions on barriers to and incentives for CCS and capture readiness (CR) development and to recognize important factors that may influence the diffusion of these technologies in Vietnam.

Surveys on public perception and expert views of CCS have been conducted widely in developed countries because social acceptance is an important factor in spreading this new technology (Edelgard Gruber et al. 2009; Cremer et al. 2009; Shackley et al. 2009; Simon Shackley et al. 2007). Except some studies on the potential for deploying CCS (APEC 2010; Vietsopetro 2004; ADB 2012a; ADB 2012b), there is a lack of similar surveys in Vietnam because CCS is still a new concept. In addition, Vietnam is not drawn to CCS because the country has contributed a minor share of global CO₂ emissions and has not yet set any CO₂ emission targets for the coming decades. Without a stronger change in climate policy, it is unlikely that Vietnam would sign any binding emission targets in the near future. In this context, the research question is the following: "What would make Vietnam more interested in CCS?" By conducting interviews with researchers, policy makers and industrial energy experts, the authors test the following hypothesis: *Vietnam would not be interested in CCS technologies for the next two decades unless climate change policy and the price of CO₂ deviate from the expected baseline in the country.*

First, this study presents the opinions of the interviewees on barriers to and incentives for CCS development, in terms of technology, economics and policy. Then, the authors present the factors that influence the deployment of CCS in Vietnam. From the expert opinions, the authors find that the hypothesis is true. In the latter section of this paper, the authors discuss solutions to manage the difficulties that are identified in the previous sections of the paper.

2. Literature on the experts' views on CCS policy

Since 2000, several studies based on surveys regarding CCS deployment have been conducted in developed countries, mainly in Europe, the UK, Australia, Japan, the USA, and Canada. Most of these studies empirically investigate the public's general awareness of CCS issues and the factors that influence public opinion. Existing studies have shown that the perception of lay people is low in terms of understanding CCS technology and its relation to climate change (Edelgard Gruber et al. 2009). In 2006, 512 stakeholders in Europe presented their opinions for the first time through a survey regarding the role of CCS in Europe's energy future. The survey captured the opinions of European stakeholders who were classified by professional interests and/or involvement in energy industries and climate policy (Simon Shackley et al. 2007). Seventy-five percent of interviewees in the study expected that between now and 2050, a large deployment of CCS would be definitely or most likely necessary to achieve CO₂ emission cuts in their country. Concerning whether CCS development would detract from investment in renewable energy technologies, fifty percent of the interviewees said that CCS should receive incentives at the same or a higher level as renewable energy. The study also noted that the energy industry pays attention to CCS more than environmental NGOs.

The United States (US) has indicated its strong interest in CCS technology over the last two decades, such as the reduction of CO₂ emissions from coal-fired power plants. Given uncertainties in technical, economic and environmental aspects, policies to develop CCS are a key factor for the future of this technology. Rumika Chaudhry et al. (2013) have conducted a survey to explore energy policy stakeholders' perceptions of CCS in four geographically and demographically diverse areas: government, industry, academia, and non-governmental organizations. The aim of the research is to understand and compare the perceptions of CCS among stakeholders who shape state-level energy policy. The differentiation in stakeholder priorities and perceptions found by the study has identified challenges in the deployment of CCS at the national level in the US. According to the study, stakeholders across all areas suggested that CCS deployment will depend on a favorable economic environment coupled with suitable regulatory measures. The socio-political context for CCS is rapidly changing in each of these four areas, and the landscape is also changing at the national and international levels. Policy makers and energy planners at the state level in the US are the main actors who make decisions influencing their states' energy future and the potential for CCS to play a role.

China leads among developing countries that are active in the CCS sector. Stakeholder perceptions and acceptance of CCS technology have also been investigated there. In mid-2008, Liang Dapeng et al. (2009) conducted semi-structured interviews with experts from the government, scientific institutes and the industrial sector to investigate their opinions regarding four crucial aspects that influence CCS in China. According to the interviews, technological improvement, rational policies and financial incentives are the most important for the deployment of CCS in China. In addition, the study found that to maintain a sound deployment of CCS in the long term, it should be developed under a market-oriented framework. From 2006-2012, Xi Liang et al (2013) conducted a major survey of 131 Chinese stakeholders from 68 key institutions to assess the potential challenges of and opportunities for CCS projects. They used both face-to-face interviews and an online survey. According to the survey, climate change is a priority for all stakeholders. The potential of CCS is recognized more by industry and less among government officials. There is concern over CO₂ storage risks, the cost of CO₂ capture and the international CO₂ price. Notably, the expectation of international financial support for China to implement CCS demonstration projects has gradually decreased.

Although many studies investigating the opinions of CCS stakeholders have been conducted worldwide, there is a lack of such studies in Vietnam where CCS would have considerable room to develop. Total CO₂ emissions in Vietnam will increase from 178 million tons in 2010 to 830 million tons by the

end of 2030 (Nguyen Anh Tuan 2011). Given that Vietnam is one of the few Southeast Asian countries that possess considerable potential for CO₂ storage capacity (ADB 2012a), significant development of CCS technology could reduce its CO₂ emissions. Under the specific socio-economic conditions of Vietnam, interviews regarding the deployment of CCS would provide different points of view that would be useful to promote the technology in Vietnam. The authors have conducted such interviews, whose details and results are presented in the next sections of this paper.

3. Description of interview method and themes

We conducted interviews with 16 stakeholders/experts who have interests and/or are involved in CCS issues at a level pre-assessed by the authors through the stakeholders' academic/working profiles. The stakeholders work for the central government, energy industry or scientific research institutes with either direct or indirect involvement in the energy and environmental activities of Vietnam. Some of them have worked on initial studies regarding CCS issues in Vietnam (APEC 2010; APEC 2005; ADB 2012b). All of the organizations that we have worked with are shown in Table 1 of the Annex.

We obtained our interview method from the book of Anne Gotman (2005). The main discussion points of the interview were sent to the experts at least 5 days in advance to ensure that they had time to prepare as well as decide the most convenient time and place for the interview. Most of the stakeholders preferred to discuss the CCS issues at their office. Some experts left their offices for the interview because they thought political issues were too sensitive to discuss there. With one exception of Ho Chi Minh City, the interviews were conducted in Hanoi, which is the most important city for energy research and policy making in Vietnam.

The content of the interview is divided into the following three themes: the necessity of CCS/CR deployment in Vietnam, main obstacles to the development of CCS in Vietnam and solutions that the country could apply to address the obstacles identified. The first theme is designed to determine the role of CCS in Vietnam's climate change policy in general and its strategy to reduce CO₂ emissions in particular. The second theme identifies the most likely technical, economic and financial obstacles to the development of CCS in Vietnam. The final theme suggests the most influential factors, incentives and solutions to solve the obstacles identified earlier. Those outcomes could assist policy-makers in designing suitable strategies to promote CCS technology to reduce CO₂ emissions. Details of the three themes are presented in Table 2 of the Annex.

4. CCS is potentially relevant

All of the interviewees agree that CCS could be an efficient solution for

worldwide climate change in general and for Vietnam in particular. *"The primary reason is that the consumption of coal and other fossil fuels in Vietnam will increase rapidly in the next decades, especially in the power sector"*, commented an expert. The second reason is that CCS technologies could be integrated either in the current energy sector by retrofitting fossil fuel-based power plants or in future power plants at the beginning of their design and construction. However, the experts doubt how CCS technology can compete with other alternatives for reducing CO₂ emissions, such as renewable energy and energy efficiency under time and financial constraints.

All of the experts believe that the rapid economic growth in Vietnam in the next decades could lead to a considerable expansion of fossil fuel use. According to experts at the Ministry of Industry and Trade, the coal industry in 2010 accounts for approximately 35 percents of the total primary energy use in Vietnam, and coal-fired power capacity is more than 30 percents of the total power capacity. In the same year, coal use for power generation accounted for approximately 50 percents of the total coal consumption in the country. With the current plan for power development in Vietnam, coal-fired power plants will dominate the energy sector with the largest share of 66 percents in the total power capacity by 2030, as stated by a researcher at the Institute of Energy. This Institute has implemented the national energy development plans in Vietnam for more than 30 years. The expert concluded: *"With such a high share of coal power in the power system, the country, therefore, has to implement modern technologies to reduce CO₂ emissions from the combustion of coal in the power sector"*.

One advantage of CCS technology compared with other low carbon technologies is that the country does not need to change the structure of its energy sector in the future. Empirical evidence shows that it is possible to integrate CCS technology in coal power plants without modifying the main process of electricity generation. This integration could be implemented by either retrofitting existing power plants or adding this technology to the design of new coal power plants. Twelve experts concluded that if Vietnam cannot avoid burning coal to fuel its economy, CCS technology could be important to reduce CO₂ emissions required by stronger climate policies, which would be compulsory for developing countries such as Vietnam.

Along with its considerable potential in the power sector, CCS could also be implemented efficiently in other industries, for example, the oil industry. Five experts who work closely with the oil industry in Vietnam supposed that the White Tiger CCS project in enhanced oil recovery (EOR) (Vietsopetro 2004) could be a beginning for further development of CCS in the oil and gas industries. The EOR also shows that there is a need for CCS in the country. Without CDM credits as expected, the project is still under operation with internal benefits from oil recovery.

5. Obstacles to CCS/CR deployment in Vietnam

Despite CCS's advantages, Vietnam could face several obstacles if the country uses this technology to reduce CO₂ emissions in the coming decades. According to the experts' opinions, those obstacles concern the following: technology, environment, energy security, finance, and policy. These issues are presented in greater detail in the following sections.

Although there is no evidence of accidents from CCS projects, several experts are still concerned regarding the technical risks of the construction and operation of these projects. Most experts are familiar with technologies to implement CCS projects, but the combination of these technologies is still a new concept to the public. Little experience has been accumulated in Vietnam because only one CCS project has been implemented in the country: the White Tiger Enhanced Oil Recovery CCS project. The main purpose of this project is the recovery of more oil, rather than collecting and injecting CO₂ into the oil field. Notably, one expert who works for the General Department for Energy said that *"The purpose to increasing 8% of oil production of this CCS project was not achieved during its operation. The oil production has decreased after integrating the CCS facilities"*. This reduction may be caused by the dissolution of gases and oil during the process of injecting CO₂ into the oil field. Then, experts are concerned regarding accidents or unexpected events that could occur in power plants or storage sites during the long lifetime of CCS projects. An expert at MOIT emphasized that *"Vietnam is highly affected by natural disasters such as hurricanes, floods and earthquakes. This point should be seriously considered when implementing any CCS project in the country and to foresee the technical risks"*.

Leakage of stored CO₂, both onshore and offshore, is a potential environmental risk. Higher concentrations of CO₂ could be harmful to living things. Eight of the fifteen experts interviewed suppose that large-scale CO₂ storage would negatively affect geological systems, such as underground water or faults in the rock layers, as well as marine ecosystems in the case of offshore storage. In addition, CCS construction from CO₂ emitting sources to the storage could damage local environments. However, two experts who participated in studies on CCS's potential in Vietnam and other Southeast Asian countries said that *"the risk of CO₂ leakage is not very important because both international and national experiences in the natural gas industry have shown that Vietnam can operate and manage technologies to transport and store gases safely"*.

Energy security is a concern for the experts. Experts, whose work is related to Vietnam's energy development plans and the operation of coal-fired power plants, noted that the processes to capture, transport and inject CO₂ consume more energy. This increase of fuel consumption will lower the efficiency of fossil fuel-based power plants. In other words, a power plant that integrates CCS technology needs more fuel to generate the same amount of electricity

compared with a similar plant without CCS technology. If CCS technology is implemented on a large scale, Vietnam would need to burn more fossil resources to fuel its economy. Despite the amount of CO₂ released in the atmosphere that could decrease with CCS technology, the country would be under pressure to increase either the production capacity of domestic coal or coal imports. Both options would increase the energy security risk of the country. In the most optimistic scenario, CCS technology could help coal power plants reduce their generation costs. As a result, this reduction would make electricity from coal more competitive than electricity from renewable resources. Then, CCS technology could face objections from renewable energy supporters.

During the interviews, the experts spent most of the time discussing financial and political risks. One expert at MOIT confirmed that: "*There is no room for CCS-Readiness in the short-term because under the current regulations of the energy sector of Vietnam, investment costs of CCS-Readiness in power plants are not taken into the depreciation period and then not into the cost of electricity generation*". Integrating CCS-Readiness would make the total investment cost of a power plant increase by ten percent. Power plant operators would not be interested in CSS-Readiness if the corresponding investment is not included in the electricity costs and before the operators obtain benefits from CO₂ reduction after the full integration of CCS technology. To cover the costs of CCS technology and the additional fuel consumption to run the CCS systems, the power plant operators can expect only the revenue from selling the credits of their CO₂ emission reduction. This revenue would depend on not only the reduction, which they can technically control but also the market price of CO₂.

6. Solutions to advance CCS in Vietnam

Along with discussing potential risks that may prevent the development of CCS in Vietnam, the experts also suggest how to eliminate those risks. Their suggestions could be grouped as follows: issue more relevant policies, raise awareness of CCS, carry out more studies, and promote demonstration/pilot projects.

All experts agreed that policies to promote CCS are necessary to develop this technology in Vietnam. Even an expert from the academic sector emphasized: "*Policy is definitely the most important initial factor for Vietnam to bring CCS technology in the country*". Four experts said that Vietnam, to promote and manage CCS projects, should establish a special board operated under the following three ministries: Ministry of Industry and Trade, Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources, and Ministry of Science and Technology. According to twelve experts, policies regarding financial matters should be issued. Integrating CCS technology in the power sector should be given, at the

least, the same incentives as other clean electricity generation technologies, such as technology imports, taxes, loan interest, and land use. In addition, the investment of capture readiness in new power plants should be depreciated in the same way as other investment costs and included in the cost of electricity generation before CCS technology is integrated. However, the experts also worry that these policies cannot be achieved because of the current lack of investment in the power sector and the availability of other technologies that generate cleaner electricity and have more acceptable investments.

Because there are insufficient studies regarding CCS potential in Vietnam, most experts agree that more studies are necessary. These studies should not only examine Vietnam's potential for CO₂ storage capacity but also analyze its technical and economic aspects. In addition, these studies should consider external costs and assess the environmental and social impacts of CCS projects at the local level to calculate the full cost of this technology. Five experts said that scenarios to respond to accidents, such as leakages or interactions with water, heavy metals, particulates and acid gases that may occur during the transportation and storage of CO₂, should be developed to demonstrate the safety of CCS projects. A scientific mechanism to control and monitor the CCS project should be implemented to avoid unsafe operation. One example is the current operation of the hydro-power system in the central part of Vietnam. An expert at MOIT said that the White Tiger CCS project is intended to store approximately 7.4 million tons of CO₂ per year and to increase the production of the White Tiger oil field to 8 percent. However, the operation of the project has not met the expected outcomes. Oil production has actually decreased resulting from interactions between CO₂ and chemical elements in the oil field. Having sufficient studies on CCS technology in Vietnam could improve the perception of stakeholders, such as financial investors, fossil fuel-based power operators, and policy makers, who play crucial roles in developing CCS projects. Two experts who teach energy systems said that introducing seminars of CCS technology in the relevant training programs, such as the Electricity Faculty at the Hanoi University of Technology or the Energy Faculty at the Electric Power University, could not only increase the awareness of CCS but also prepare human resources for this technology in the future. Fundamental information on CCS technology should be spread to the public through the media in concrete and understandable ways.

To address environmental issues, standards for environmental protection and clear risk management at CO₂ production sources, storage sites and on transportation systems should be designed by stakeholders and approved by the government of Vietnam. In fact, some regulations require the control of other types of air pollutants, such as CO₂ and NO_x, such as coal-fired power plants with static electric air controllers. Three experts said that more regulations for CO₂ emissions are needed when the country starts developing CCS projects.

Most experts considered demonstrative projects the first step to develop CCS technology in Vietnam. An expert at EVN said that: "As in other new technologies in the power sector in Vietnam, a demonstration of investment from the private sector or international support is the easiest path to promote CCS in Vietnam". These projects would provide more precise data and convey good indicators to potential investors to further develop CCS projects. The success of the demonstrative projects would strongly influence the perception of policy-makers and the acceptance of the public toward CCS technology. Afterwards, policy makers could enact more legal framework on and incentives for future CCS projects. Most experts from industry agree that to develop a demonstrative project, the government should play a leading role to avoid unexpected risks to pioneers. Private investors would invest in commercial projects after policies for CCS are clear. Conversely, experts from the ministries and research institutes presume that international organizations or private investors should invest in the demonstrative projects. If the government can conduct good feasibility studies, it should give initial incentives to implement demonstrative projects. This contrary opinion requires a rational solution to initiate a CCS demonstrative project in Vietnam.

Regarding energy security, two experts stated that a clear energy development strategy should be first clarified to lead the power sector in decades to come. If high-intensity CO₂ power plants continue to play a role in the system, standards for CO₂ emissions and technologies to reduce these emissions would be stated clearly in the strategy. In addition, an expert from the energy industry says standards for more power efficiency in power plants could reduce the additional energy consumption of the CCS infrastructure.

Although there are many advantages of CCS technology, all 16 experts think CCS should not be the first choice for CO₂ emission reduction. An expert from the energy industry said: *"Vietnam could take advantage of its various renewable energy sources to meet its power demand as well as reduce CO₂ emissions rather than burn coal then apply expensive technology such as CCS"*. The experts thought that other technologies such as renewable energy will significantly reduce CO₂ emissions. They therefore agreed that CCS technology should reinforce renewable energy rather than replace it. Interestingly, 10 experts agree that CCS should be combined with clean coal technology. Furthermore, the integrated gasification combined cycle into the CCS model is seen as the best technology for the future. In considering risks, experts contend that the technological and operational risks are not serious because sufficient knowledge and technology exists to monitor, mitigate and control these risks in CO₂ capture, transportation, injection and storage. Vietnam's energy system and environment are so fragile that CCS technology must be implemented only if it can be proved safe. In general, experts believe that there are still too many risks to the environment and the energy system that

prevent CCS development on a commercial scale. Government should also play a key role in funding and policy making. In the short term, financial incentives are the main approach for investment in CCS technology. In the long term, however, a sound business environment that is characterized by mature technology, market-oriented mechanisms for price, and a complete legal system should be established.

7. Discussion

According to the interviews, the government is not optimistic regarding CCS technology, and the scientific institutes have no in-depth research on it, which led to little attention to CCS from the industrial sector. Developing market for CCS, through the creation of commercial conditions, could result in lowering commercial risks. In such conditions, more investors would be interested in CCS. Currently, the biggest challenges for the commercial development of CCS are the costs and the risks. Thus, according to the interviews, opening the market can enhance the confidence for CCS investment and guarantee stable profits of enterprises involved in CCS activities. Apart from the technology and cost, the main factor influencing CCS market development is Vietnam's scant attention to CO₂ commercial values, such as EOR, which causes the devaluation of all CCS projects. In addition, the insufficiency of data for qualified storage sites decrease CCS's commercial potential, and CO₂ capture enterprises may suspect that the CCS business cannot be guaranteed over a long period of time. Meanwhile, because there is no clear division of responsibilities and duties, it is difficult to determine who is responsible for by-products, such as CO₂. Accordingly, no enterprises are willing to accept the risks of managing a CCS business.

Through investigation, this paper concludes that the lack of policy is the main restriction on CCS deployment in Vietnam. Because of insignificant pressure from carbon emission taxes, businesses in Vietnam have no will to reduce their CO₂ emissions. If emission taxes are established, companies will balance between paying these taxes and investing in emission reduction. Therefore, an emission quota or proper emission taxes must be set by the government. In addition to quota standards and carbon taxes, policies in the electricity market could be established.

In Jan 1995, the state-owned national power company, Electricity of Vietnam (EVN), was created, which completely controls power generation, transmission, distribution and sales. Additionally, the company controls nearly all of the power grids and two-thirds of the power plants in Vietnam. However, the company's high market power and a low level of innovation for new technologies reduce its driving force. The company directs less attention to renewable energy and CCS technology than coal- and gas-fired technology. In the energy sector, enterprises other than EVN are willing to adopt new

technologies as long as they can be competitive and profitable. Strongly influencing policy-makers and industry, EVN is the most powerful company in Vietnam's power sector. If CCS was promoted by EVN, the technology would have a greater influence on the country.

The recent global economic crisis has caused more difficulties for CCS projects worldwide. Mainly developed countries that are under an obligation to reduce their CO₂ emissions continue CCS projects. In contrast, developing countries such as Vietnam do not give priority to the technology partly because of their difficulty in financing other development projects, such as in the power sector. Only financial support and stronger international agreements on technology transfer can initiate the CCS industry in developing countries. In the case of Vietnam, the authors find that fossil fuel industries must take more responsibility for their CO₂ emissions, and then they could pay more attention to CCS technology.

8. Conclusions

Based on interviews conducted in 2013, this article summarizes and analyzes the opinions of experts regarding barriers to and incentives for CCS deployment in Vietnam. This paper demonstrates that attitudes of the Vietnamese government, scientific institutions and the industry toward CCS is not optimistic as a result of the low priority for CO₂ reduction, investment restrictions, technological uncertainty, and the lack of an appropriate regulation system. Any future incentives, such as financial supports, laws and the venture capital market, would require widespread participation from stakeholders in government and industry. Yet we found that at this stage, experts at research institutes, rather than experts in government or industry, had paid more attention to CCS. Our respondents did not frame developing CCS as a problem to be solved.

The most frequently cited necessary conditions to change this situation were, unsurprisingly, a rapid improvement of CO₂ capture technology, reducing costs and improving risk management. More knowledge of CCS, which a demonstration project could provide, is required to attract the attention of government and industry.

Viewing CO₂ as a polluting waste, experts said that the important objective was the effective use of the CO₂-emitted from energy resources, rather than storage. Promoting the use of CO₂ for specific purposes, such as EOR, would stimulate demand and develop value for CCS.

Another pre-requisite to the development of CCS in the power sector mentioned by the experts was an electricity tariff reform, which internalizes the costs of carbon emissions. Experts all considered that carbon taxes and the establishment of an emission trading market was the foundation for building a system to manage CCS technology. Improving the transmission network to

reduce the losses and costs was cited as an important step to allow the development of all new green electricity technologies. Initially, financial incentives from the government were expected to play an important role.

Based on these reasons, our study concludes that Vietnam would not be interested in CCS technologies for the next two decades unless climate change policy and the price of CO₂ deviate from the expected baseline. If and when those changes are enacted, to prepare the market for deploying CCS a framework of regulations and standards which allow a sound business model will be expected.

References

- ADB, 2012a. *Prospect for Carbon Capture and Storage In Southeast Asia*, Available at: <http://www.adb.org/publications/prospects-carbon-capture-and-storage-southeast-asia>.
- ADB, 2012b. *Road Map for Carbon Capture and Storage Demonstration and Deployment*, Available at: <http://www.adb.org/projects/46052-001/details>.
- Alain Blanchet and Anne Gotman, 2005. *L'entretien: L'enquête et ses méthodes*, Armand Colin. ISBN: 2200342349
- APEC, 2010. *Assessment of the CCS potential of CO₂ co-produced with natural gas in South East Asia*, Available at: <http://www.globalccsinstitute.com/publications/assessment-capture-and-storage-potential-co2-co-produced-natural-gas-south-east-asia>.
- APEC, 2005. *CO₂ storage prospectivity of selected sedimentary basins in the region of China and South East Asia*, Available at: <http://www.globalccsinstitute.com/publications/co2-storage-prospectivity-selected-sedimentary-basins-region-china-and-south-east-asia>.
- Cremer, C. et al., 2009. Stakeholder acceptance of carbon capture and storage in Germany. *Energy Procedia*, 1(1), pp.4783–4787. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2009.02.304>
- Edelgard Gruber et al., 2009. *Professional and public acceptance for carbon capture and storage activities*, Fraunhofer Institute for Systems and Innovation Research, Karlsruhe. Available at: <http://www.isi.fraunhofer.de/isi-media/docs/isi-publ/2009/ISI-A-4-09.pdf>.
- Liang Dapeng and Wu Weiwei, 2009. Barriers and incentives of CCS deployment in China: Results from semi-structured interviews. *Energy Policy*, 37(6), pp.2421–2432. DOI: 10.1016/j.enpol.2009.02.032
- Nguyen Anh Tuan, 2011. Carbon Capture and Storage in Vietnam. 6th Asian Clean Energy Forum, Manila 2011. Available at: <http://fr.slideshare.net/globalccs/ccs-in-vietnam-nnguyen-anh-tuan> [Accessed September 9, 2013].
- Rumika Chaudhry, Miriam Fischlein, Joel Larson, Damon M. Hall, Tarla Rai Peterson,

Elizabeth J. Wilson, Jennie C. Stephens, 2013. Policy stakeholders' perceptions of carbon capture and storage (CCS): a comparison of four U.S. states. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 52, pp.1–12. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.02.002>

Simon Shackley, David Reiner, Paul Upham, Heleen de Coninck, Gudmundur Sigurthorsson, Jason Anderson, 2009. The acceptability of CO₂ capture and storage (CCS) in Europe: An assessment of the key determining factors: Part 2. The social acceptability of CCS and the wider impacts and repercussions of its implementation. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, 3(3), pp.344–356.

Simon Shackley, Holly Waterman, Per Godfroij, David Reiner, Jason Anderson, Kathy Draxlbauer, Todd Flach, 2007. Stakeholder perceptions of CO₂ capture and storage in Europe: Results from a survey. *Energy Policy*, (35), pp.5091–5108.

Vietsopetro, 2004. *The White Tiger Oil Field Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) project in Vietnam: Project Design Document*, Available at: <http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/pnm/byref/NM0167>.

Xi Liang and David Reiner, 2013. The Evolution of Stakeholder Perceptions of Deploying CCS Technologies in China: Survey Results from Three Stakeholder Consultations in 2006, 2009 and 2012. *Energy Procedia*, 37, pp.7361–7368.

Table 1: The organizations of experts and their functions

| Sector | Organization | Function |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| Government | Ministry of Industry and Trade (MOIT) | Energy policy and project investment for CCS in the national energy development |
| | Ministry of Environmental and Natural resources (MONRE) | Environmental and natural resources protection and management |
| | Electricity Regulatory Authority of Vietnam (ERAV) | Issuing regulations for the power system operation in Vietnam |
| | Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST) | Scientific policy and research investment for the new technologies |
| Industrial sectors | Electricity of Vietnam (EVN) | Invest in and regulate the largest share of the power generation sector, all transmission and distribution systems |
| | National Load Dispatch Center (NLDC - AO) | Dispatch the whole power generation and transmission systems |
| | Coal power plants | Investing in or operating coal power plants |
| Scientific institutes | Institute of Energy (IE) | Research on CCS potential and deployment in Vietnam |
| | Institute of Energy Science (IES) | Research on new and clean energy technologies, implement renewable energy projects |
| | Electric Power University (EPU) | Research on electric power systems |

Table 2: Interview themes

| Theme | Key points | Objectives |
|---|--|--|
| Necessity of CCS & Capture-Readiness (CR) in Vietnam | Is it a necessary technology in Vietnam for mitigating climate change | To examine advantages and position of CCS/CR in Vietnam' energy development strategy |
| | Is it possible to deploy CCS/CR in Vietnam now | |
| | Could it be an effective low carbon technology in short- or long-term? | |
| Potential risk of CCS projects and the corresponding risk control | What kind of potential risks of CCS/CR exist in Vietnam | To prepare solutions to deal with potential risks |
| | Is it preventing CCS/CR from deployment | |
| | How to control risks effectively | |
| Influencing factors and incentives for CCS/CR deployment in Vietnam | What are the main influencing factors in CCS/CR deployment in Vietnam | To provide policy-making recommendations for CCS/CR development |