« GMSARN International Conference 2009 » Ha Long Bay, Vietnam, 25-27 November 2009

The Clean Development Mechanism in Vietnam: potential and limitations

Nhan T. Nguyen^a, Minh Ha-Duong^a, Sandra Greiner^c

^aCentre International de Recherche sur l'Environnement et le Développement, CIRED-CNRS. Campus du Jardin Tropical, 45bis ave. de la Belle Gabrielle, 94736 Nogent-sur-Marne, France. Tel: +33 01 43 94 73 65 Fax: +33 1 43 94 73 70. Corresponding author: <u>nhan@centre-cired.fr</u>. ^bClimate Focus. Minervahuis III, Rodezand 34, 3011 AN Rotterdam, Netherland.

Introduction

The CDM experienced a spectacular rise of activity since mid-2005 and the opportunity window for CDM implementation has almost closed.

But, the CDM in Vietnam is used as a way below its full potential.

Even 2008 and 2009 have shown increased activity & many projects are underway, Vietnam still lags behind neighboring countries in term of submitted & registered projects.

Outline

- **1. Overview: the CDM**
- 2. Study approach and data
- 3. Vietnam & the CDM: a late starter on the global rise.
- 4. Barriers analysis: why Vietnam has not been more successful? ⇒ strategic recommendation.
- 5. Vietnam's positioning in a post-2012 environment.
- 6. Conclusions.

1. CDM: current status & prospect:

The CDM is defined in Article 12, the Kyoto Protocol :

+ developed countries: benefit from projects implemented in developing countries to offset their mandatory emissions reduction commitments.

+ developing countries: benefit from the funding through CDM projects that could assist them to reach sustainable development.

As of 6 May 2009, there are 285.5 million emissions credits issued by CDM-EB over 292 million CERs requested by host parties (UNFCCC, 2009).

current status & prospects (cont'd)

The CDM's effectiveness argued: expensive and lengthy project approval process, methodologies for calculating whether the project actually reduces GHGs,... etc?

The first commitment of the Kyoto terminates at end of 2012 & the world has been negotiating for replacement agreement.

The opportunity window for CDM implementation currently has almost closed. Anyone who is starting a project now will be too late for the deadline 2012.

2. Study approach & data

Approach: the study is based on a review of major documents as well as interviews carried out with a number of stakeholders inside and outside Vietnam.

Data sources: key documents and specific country reports, most updating online-published CDM databases (UNFCCC, UNEP Risø, IGES, CD4CDM, OECD,, Point Carbon, IDEAcarbon, Germany Trade & Invest, ... etc).

□ This was implemented in France: three oversea study voyages were carried out during 2007-2009.

3. A late start on the global rise

We can observe this late by looking at:

3.1 The country's significant potential?

3.2 Opportunities exploited so far?

3.3 How is the country lagging behind others?

4 barrier analysis \Rightarrow why Vietnam not been more successful

By looking at: international practice, lessons learnt, current status as well as interviews stakeholders in field.



Regulatory barriers

+ The institutional structure and regulatory framework: cumbersome and inefficient.

+ Delays in approval process: difficult & so long.

+ **Document submission system:** inadequate and very underdeveloped.

+ Lack of approval criteria: evaluation & approval system has lack of clear criteria per se.

+ **Provincial approvals:** a mass of difficulties to obtain the endorsement letters.

barrier analysis (cont'd)



Barriers due to business climate 🕨 + Corruption and bureaucracy: Vietnamese CDM investment climate is ranked as "average climate".

+ Tariff uncertainty: no government-incentives for pricing reflective of clean energy's extra.

Access to information: data not widely public, hardly accessible, often obtained through personal contacts & relationships but lacking official feature.

EXAMPL Content of the set of th frustration to project development.

* difficulty in communication: foreign language barrier * insufficient knowledge among local CDM participants



\Rightarrow Strategic suggestions

+ approval system to be strongly changed & streamlined to ensure righteous application process for approval letters.

+ clearer set of criteria & guidelines to be built up for evaluating projects in a common manner.

+ to cut down cumbersome capacity within the DNA by reducing the required number of participations to 50%.

+ learnt lessons from neighbors (China, India, Indonesia) in providing favorable conditions for involved parties.

+ business standards (postal-mail, electronic-email) should be legally obligated for the submission of PDDs.

+ to intensify partnerships with foreign counterparts to disseminate deep knowledge on CDM, foreign language.

5. Vietnam's positioning in a post-2012 environment

We future prospects of CDM projects for Vietnam are not clear <= unclear whether & how the CDM (or a CDM-like mechanism) will be included into a post-2012 and, if such mechanism included, how much demand & supply of carbon credits will exist in any future agreement.

5.1 Can the big players come on board if CCS is endorsed?

+ given the possibility: large-scale agreements on clean energy would be set as a priority, i.e. the inclusion of large-scale CCS technology would exist in future CDM-like mechanism.

+ Central coal (70 GW) for electricity generation by 2030. Currently, the White Tiger Field project is proposed for CDM implementation in Vietnam (IEA, 2008). This is likely to become the 1st commercial CCS project in Asia. Thus, the adoption of CCS technology would be not an implausible possibility.

 \Rightarrow the only question arisen is can the big players like EVN come on board if CCS is endorsed?

5.2 if a wider set of climate policies included towards climate goals



Vietnam (large CDM potential) would gain greater opportunities \Rightarrow Vietnam should do streamlining of CDM procedure focusing on plentiful endowed resources of renewables, especially small hydropower.

This fast-track procedure should be as standardized as possible, especially standardized emission reduction baselines, in order to facilitate and speed-up the assessment of small-scale projects.

6. Conclusion

+ We have shown that Vietnam is a *late-starter on the global rise* of CDM even having very big potential.

+ The barrier analysis outlined the ways facilitating the CDM but it is not methodically efficient enough to complete successful projects in Vietnam.

+ Climate mitigation is not yet considered a strategic priority in the long-term economic development plan. If nothing is changed, it is unlikely that a success of expanded CDM or other CDM-like mechanism occurs.

+ Policy measures available for a stronger strategy, consistent with general goal of improving the country's investment climate by *reducing red tape (bureaucracy, cumbersome regulation),& fighting corruption.* 14





"turning the climate challenges into sustainable development opportunities for Vietnam"

the end!

3.1 Country has a significant potentia

Large potential for implementation of CDM projects

+ Due to growing energy needs, highly inefficient energy usage, an ample potential for renewables \Rightarrow enormous opportunities for developing CDM projects.

+ Most potential sectors: renewables (dominated by hydropower, wind energy), waste, waste water treatment, fossil fuel switching, energy efficiency, etc.

How big potential? \Rightarrow **for further ref:** Nguyen and Minh Ha-Duong, 2009 "Economic potential of renewables" Energy Policy; Nguyen and Minh Ha-Duong, 2009 "Potential for CO2 mitigation in Vietnam" (IAEE Conference, other international Conferences in France, Germany & the 5th International Academy, to be published through Lexxion Verlag, Berlin).

significant potential (cont'd)

The country has no shortage of CDM buyers

+ Most buyers are from foreigners. Japanese companies are first buyers & most dominant group.

+ Project entities dealing with European compliance buyers. The country cooperated with JBIC, Austrian Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, Environment.

Available supports from CDM partnership agreements

+ Many partners from Austria, Japan, Germany, Denmark..., etc on climate change issues.

+ Supports from international organizations: the UNEP, AIT, GTZ, etc for local capacity building. 18

■ 3.2 How opportunities exploited

+ Growth of projects (at validation) in Asia & Pacific:

2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	1 st quarter of 2009
13	265	449	994	1198	709

+ The first commitment expires end of $2012 \Rightarrow$ the opportunity window for CDM projects closes very soon.

+ *121 hydro run-of-river projects* around the world registered as of 6/2008, expected to generate about 10 Mt CO₂ reduction annually over 2008-2012.

 \Rightarrow Given the situation: until 6/5/2009 only 4 projects registered: large-scale Rang Dong gas flaring reduction, only one small-scale 2 MW hydropower, Landfill gas, Binh Thuan wind farm. 19

■ 3.3 How the country is lagging? ⇒ at *lower end of countries in region*, just > other lowincome countries: Cambodia, Lao PDR, Mongolia, in *submitted & registered projects.*

		Re	egistered to CDM EB	Under validation	Others [*]	Rejected
Country	submitted to UNFCCC	Total projects	Expected average annual reductions (tCO2eq)			
Cambodia	9	4	124,356	5	0	0
China	2,666	537	173,090,792	1,993	119	17
India	1,982	422	34,662,458	1,491	32	37
Indonesia	155	24	3,337,551	128	2	1
Lao PD.	2	1	3,338	1	0	0
Malaysia	237	45	3,096,701	183	5	4
Mongolia	7	3	71,904	4	0	0
Philippines	124	27	1,367,251	95	1	1
Rep of Korea	112	26	14,737,147	81	3	2
Singapore	9	1	15,205	8	0	0
Sri Lanka	35	5	152,884	27	0	3
Thailand	151	16	1,189,114	132	2	1
Vietnam	89	4	886,912	79	5	1

* Total projects are requesting registration, review requested, under or following review, micro corrections or corrections following request for review

CDM investment climate index in Asian region by April **⊇**009

Rank	Country	CDM ICI (max 100 points)	Regional classification
1	Malaysia	91.7	Very good climate
2	Korea (Rep)	90.2	Very good climate
3	Thailand	83.7	Good climate
4	China	83.3	Good climate
5	India	80.7	Good climate
6	Indonesia	80.1	Good climate
7	Philippines	79.5	Good climate
•••	•••	•••	•••
25	Vietnam	54.4	Average climate
			21

Source: DEG- Deutsche Investtions and Entwicklungsgesellschaft mbH cited by Germany Trade & Invest.

CDM institutional structure and host country approval procedures

